

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,776	06/18/2007	Masato Miyake	690121.408USPC	2445
500 SEED INTEL	7590 01/05/200 LECTUAL PROPERTY		EXAM	UNER
701 FIFTH AVE			GODDARD, LAURA B	
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, W	A 98104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1642	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587,776 MIYAKE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

Į l	_AURA B. GODDARD	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply	ers on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136( after SIX 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reduced period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set may be a fixed the set of the set	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 June	<u> 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action	ction is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)  objected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	niner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents h</li> </ol>	nave been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents in</li></ol>	nave been received in Applicati	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ol>	documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (	,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_

Art Unit: 1642

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-23, drawn to the special technical feature of a composition comprising a cellular adhesion related agent.

Group II, claim(s) 24-41, drawn to the special technical feature of a kit or composition comprising a cellular adhesion related agent and a gene introduction reagent and/or target material.

Group III, claim(s) 42-54, drawn to the special technical feature of a method for enhancing the introduction efficiency of a target substance into a cell comprising providing a target substance, providing a cellular adhesion related agent, and contacting the target substance and the cellular adhesion related agent with the cell, further comprising a gene introduction agent.

Art Unit: 1642

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I - III appears to be a cellular adhesion related agent comprising an interaction molecule that binds with a cellular adhesion molecule such as an integrin receptor.

However, said technical feature does <u>not</u> constitute a special technical feature in view of Ridger et al. (J of Immunology, 2001, 166:3484-3490). Ridger et al teach antibodies that bind to CD29, CD49e, CD49d, CD49f, CD49b (abstract; p. 3485, col. 1, "Materials"), hence Ridger et al teach the special technical feature of a cellular adhesion related agent comprising an interaction molecule (antibody) that binds integrin receptor.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I - III does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, Groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general incentive concept and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

### SPECIES ELECTION

# Species Elections for Group I

A. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1642

The species of cellular adhesion molecule are as follows:

(a) extracellular matrix (claim 3),

(b) integrin receptor (claim 4), or

(c) RGD molecule (claim 5).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each cellular adhesion molecule is structurally and functionally distinct requiring structurally distinct interaction substances.

If Applicants elect "(b) integrin receptor (claim 4)" in A above, Applicants must elect a species in B below:

B. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of integrin receptor are as follows: CD49a, CD49b, CD49c, CD49d, CD49e, CD49f, or CD29 (claims 9, 13 and 14).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding

special technical features for the following reasons: each cellular adhesion molecule is structurally and functionally distinct requiring structurally distinct interaction substances.

# Species Election for Group II

C. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of target material are as follows: DNA, RNA, polypeptide, sugar, or a specified complex (claims 26 and 34).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 25 and 33.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each target material is structurally and functionally distinct.

# Species Election for Group III

D. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species of target material are as follows: DNA, RNA, polypeptide, sugar, or a specified complex (claim 43).

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 42.

Art Unit: 1642

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each target material is structurally and functionally distinct.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Art Unit: 1642

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Art Unit: 1642

commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA B. GODDARD whose telephone number is (571)272-8788. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,776 Page 9

Art Unit: 1642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Laura B Goddard/ Examiner, Art Unit 1642